Electroweak sphalerons in the reduced minimal 3-3-1 model

Vo Quoc Phong^{*}

Department of Theoretical Physics, Ho Chi Minh City University of Science, Ho Chi Minh City 70250, Vietnam

Hoang Ngoc Long[†](#page-0-1)

Institute of Physics, Vietnamese Academy of Science and Technology, 10 Dao Tan, Ba Dinh, Hanoi 10000, Vietnam

Vo Thanh Van^{\ddagger} and Nguyen Chi Thanh[§]

Department of Theoretical Physics, Ho Chi Minh City University of Science, Ho Chi Minh City 70250, Vietnam

(Received 26 August 2014; published 21 October 2014)

We calculate the electroweak sphaleron rates in the reduced minimal 3-3-1 (RM331) model. In the context of the early Universe, this model undergoes a sequence of two first-order phase transitions, $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ at the TeV scale and $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$ at the 10² GeV scale, as the Universe cools down from the hot big bang. By a thin-wall approximation, we show that for each phase transition in this sequence, the sphaleron rate is larger than the cosmological expansion rate at temperatures higher than the critical temperature, and after the phase transition, the sphaleron process is decoupled. This may provide the baryon-number violation (B violation) necessary for baryogenesis in the relationship with nonequilibrium physics in the early Universe.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.90.085019](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.085019) PACS numbers: 11.30.Fs, 11.15.Ex, 12.60.Fr, 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

Electroweak baryogenesis (EWBG) is a possibility to explain the baryon asymmetry of the Universe (BAU) by electroweak physics. From an initially baryon-antibaryonsymmetric Universe, the nonzero BAU can be generated if the three Sakharov conditions are satisfied: B violation, C and CP violations, and deviation from thermal equilibrium [\[1\]](#page-8-0). Cohen, Kaplan, and Nelson [\[2\]](#page-8-1) have proposed an EWBG mechanism in which the presence of B violation and CP violation may be related to each other in a nonequilibrium way which would produce a BAU. This mechanism requires that the expanding Universe experience a first-order phase transition period in which bubbles of broken electroweak symmetry nucleate, grow, collide and merge in the midst of symmetric phase regions. B violation will happen quickly in the symmetric phase regions, but it will shut off essentially in the broken phase bubbles; this gives a relationship between out-ofequilibrium and B-violating processes necessary for baryogenesis. On the other hand, CP violation will come from the interactions between fermions, which become massive through electroweak spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB), and the bubble walls; this provides a relationship between CP violation and nonequilibrium physics during cosmological expansion.

When the Universe cools through the phase-transition critical temperature T_c , the electroweak phase transition (EWPT) associated with SSB takes place. In the symmetric phase, the Higgs potential has only one minimum at the place where the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the Higgs field is zero. As the temperature reaches the critical temperature, the Higgs fields will tend to get a nonzero VEV in a manifold of equivalent vacua, and the new minima of the Higgs potential appear [\[3\].](#page-8-2) If the EWPT is of the first order, there exists a potential barrier which separates the new minima from the old minimum of the Higgs potential, and the transition will occur through bubble nucleation. At this transitional time, if the temperature is small as compared to the height of the potential barrier, the transition may occur by quantum tunneling in which the magnitude of the Higgs field changes from zero to nonzero VEV; such a transition is called an instanton. Otherwise, if the temperature is sufficiently high so that thermal fluctuations can bring the magnitude of the Higgs field from zero VEV over the barrier to nonzero VEV classically without tunneling, the transition is called a sphaleron.

In 1976, 't Hooft discovered the B-violation instantons [\[4\]](#page-8-3), but the tunneling amplitude in the Standard Model (SM) is too small for baryogenesis. In 1984, Klinkhamer and Manton [\[5\]](#page-8-4) found the sphaleron as a static, saddle-point solution of the classical field equations in the SM; and in 1985, Kuzmin, Rubakov, and Shaposhnikov [\[6\]](#page-8-5) showed that at the temperature $T \ge 100 \text{ GeV}$, the B-violation sphalerons can take place with significant probabilities, and the sphaleron rate is larger than the cosmological expansion rate.

[^{*}](#page-0-4) vqphong@hcmus.edu.vn

[[†]](#page-0-5) hnlong@iop.vast.ac.vn

[[‡]](#page-0-6) vtvan@hcmus.edu.vn

[[§]](#page-0-6) ncthanh.phs@gmail.com

The B-violation sphalerons have been investigated in the SM and various extended models [\[7,8\]](#page-9-0). In the SM, the sphaleron rate is very small, about 10^{-60} [\[5,9](#page-8-4)–12]; this rate is much smaller than the rate of BAU and smaller than the cosmological expansion rate. In the extended models, the sphaleron processes have been considered with various suppositions, but the results show that the B-violation rates are small in the symmetric phase and smaller than the Universe's expansion rate [\[13\]](#page-9-1).

Among the extended models, those based on the $\text{SU}(3)_C \otimes \text{SU}(3)_L \otimes \text{U}(1)_X$ gauge group (called 3-3-1 for short) [14–[17\]](#page-9-2) have some intriguing features, such as the ability to account for the generation problem [\[14,15\]](#page-9-2) or the quantization of the electric charge [\[18\].](#page-9-3) We hope that the 3-3-1 models can also answer the BAU problem. In the present work, we investigate the electroweak sphalerons in the RM331 model [\[17\]](#page-9-4) because of its simplicity. This model consists of the minimal leptonic content (i.e., only the SM leptons) and bileptons: the singly and the doubly charged gauge bosons V^{\pm} and $U^{\pm\pm}$, the heavy neutral boson Z_2 and the exotic quarks. This model also has two Higgs triplets. Therefore, the physical scalar spectrum of the RM331 model is composed of a doubly charged scalar h^{++} and two neutral scalars h_1 and h_2 [\[17\].](#page-9-4) These new particles and exotic quarks can be triggers for the first-order phase transition.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. [II](#page-1-0), we give a review of the EWPT in the RM331 model. In Sec. [III](#page-3-0), we present the sphaleron energy for calculation of the sphaleron rate. In Sec. [IV,](#page-4-0) we investigate the sphaleron rates of the phase transitions $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ and $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$. Finally, we summarize and describe outlooks in Sec. [V.](#page-8-6)

II. A REVIEW OF THE EWPT IN THE RM331 MODEL

In our previous work [\[19\],](#page-9-5) we have used an effective potential at finite temperature to study the structure of the EWPT in the RM331 model. In order to derive that effective potential, we start from the full Higgs Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\chi)^{\dagger}(\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\chi) + (\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\rho)^{\dagger}(\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\rho) - V(\chi,\rho), \quad (1)
$$

where

$$
V(\chi,\rho) = \mu_1^2 \rho^\dagger \rho + \mu_2^2 \chi^\dagger \chi + \lambda_1 (\rho^\dagger \rho)^2 + \lambda_2 (\chi^\dagger \chi)^2 + \lambda_3 (\rho^\dagger \rho) (\chi^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_4 (\rho^\dagger \chi) (\chi^\dagger \rho).
$$
 (2)

Expanding ρ and χ around v_{ρ} and v_{χ} , which are considered as variables (at 0^K , $v_\rho \equiv v_{\rho_0} = 246 \text{ GeV}$ and $v_{\chi} \equiv v_{\chi_0} = 4 \div 5 \text{ TeV};$ in this work, we choose $v_{\chi_0} = 4$ TeV), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} v_{\chi} \partial_{\mu} v_{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} v_{\rho} \partial_{\mu} v_{\rho} - V_0(v_{\chi}, v_{\rho}) \n+ \sum_{\text{boson}} m_{\text{boson}}^2 (v_{\chi}, v_{\rho}) W^{\mu} W_{\mu},
$$
\n(3)

where *W* runs over all gauge fields and Higgs bosons. We can split the masses of particles into two parts as follows:

$$
m_{\text{boson}}^2(v_\chi, v_\rho) = m_{\text{boson}}^2(v_\chi) + m_{\text{boson}}^2(v_\rho). \tag{4}
$$

The RM331 model has the following gauge bosons: two massive bosons like the SM bosons Z_1 and W^{\pm} , the new heavy neutral boson Z_2 , the singly and doubly charged gauge bosons $U^{\pm\pm}$ and V^{\pm} , two doubly charged Higgses h^{++} and h^{--} , one heavy neutral Higgs h_2 , and one SM-like Higgs h_1 . The masses of the gauge bosons and the Higgses in the RM331 model are presented in Table [I.](#page-1-1)

The structure of the EWPT in the RM331 model is divided into two parts, $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ and $SU(2) \rightarrow$ $U(1)$ [\[19\].](#page-9-5) Due to the fact that the two scales of symmetry breaking are much different, $v_{\chi_0} \gg v_{\rho_0}$ ($v_{\chi_0} \sim 4-5 \text{ TeV}$

Bosons	$m^2(v_\chi, v_\rho)$	$m^2(v_\chi)$	$m^2(v_\rho)$
$m_{W^{\pm}}^2$	$\frac{g^2v_\rho^2}{4}$	Ω	80.39 ² (GeV) ²
$m_{V^{\pm}}^2$	$\frac{g^2 v_\chi^2}{4}$	1307.15^2 (GeV) ²	
$m_{I/\pm\pm}^2$	$g^2(v^2_{\rho}+v^2_{\chi})$	1307.15^2 (GeV) ²	80.39 ² (GeV) ²
$m_{Z_1}^2 \sim m_Z^2$	$\frac{1}{4} \frac{g^2}{\cos^2 \theta_W} v^2_{\rho}$		91.682 ² (GeV) ²
$m_{Z_2}^2 \sim m_{Z'}^2$	$\frac{1}{3}g^2\left[\frac{\cos^2\theta_W}{1-4\sin^2\theta_W}v_\chi^2+\frac{1-4\sin^2\theta_W}{4\cos^2\theta_W}v_\rho^2\right]$	4.8 ² $(TeV)^2$	14.532 (GeV) ²
$m_{h_1}^2$	$(\lambda_1-\frac{\lambda_3^2}{4\lambda_2})v_\rho^2$	θ	125^2 (GeV) ²
$m_{h^{++}}^2$	$\frac{\lambda_4}{2} (v_\chi^2 + v_\rho^2)$	$rac{\lambda_4}{2}v_\gamma^2$	$rac{\lambda_4}{2}v_\rho^2$
$m_{h_2}^2$	$\lambda_2 v_\chi^2 + \frac{\lambda_3^2}{4\lambda_2} v_\rho^2$	$\lambda_2 v_\gamma^2$	$\frac{\lambda_3^2}{4\lambda_2}v_\rho^2$

TABLE I. Mass formulations of bosons in the RM331 model.

[\[17,20\]](#page-9-4), $v_{\rho_0} = 246 \text{ GeV}$) and that the Universe is accelerating, the SSBs can take place sequentially, in which the symmetry breaking $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ takes place before the symmetry breaking $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$.

Through the boson mass formulations in Table [I](#page-1-1), the boson V^{\pm} is only involved in the first phase transition $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$. The gauge bosons Z_1 , W^{\pm} and h_1 are only involved in the second phase transition only involved in the second phase transition, $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$. However, $U^{\pm \pm}$, Z_2 and h^{--} are involved
in both phase transitions. The reason why $U^{\pm \pm}$ for in both phase transitions. The reason why $U^{\pm\pm}$, for example, can get mass in both phase transitions is as follows: When the energy of the Universe lowers to the scale v_{γ_0} , the symmetry breaking $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ generates mass for the first part of $U^{\pm\pm}$; i.e., $U^{\pm\pm}$ eats one of the Goldstone bosons $\chi^{\pm\pm}$ of the triplet χ . As the Universe cools to the scale v_{ρ_0} , the symmetry breaking $SU(2) \rightarrow$

 $U(1)$ is turned on, which generates mass for the last part of $U^{\pm\pm}$; i.e., $U^{\pm\pm}$ eats another of the Goldstone bosons $\rho^{\pm\pm}$ of the triplet ρ .

The symmetry breaking $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ through χ_0 generates masses for the heavy gauge bosons such as $U^{\pm\pm}$, V^{\pm} , Z_2 and the exotic quarks. The symmetry breaking scale, v_{χ_0} , is chosen to be 4 TeV [\[17,20\].](#page-9-4) This phase transition involves exotic quarks and heavy bosons, without the involvement of the SM particles. Therefore, the effective potential [\[19\]](#page-9-5) can be written as

$$
V_{SU(3)\to SU(2)}^{\text{eff}} = D'(T^2 - T_0^2) v_{\chi}^2 - E'T v_{\chi}^3 + \frac{\lambda'_T}{4} v_{\chi}^4, \quad (5)
$$

where

$$
D' = \frac{1}{24v_{x0}^{2}} \{6m_{U}^{2}(v_{\chi}) + 3m_{Z_{2}}^{2}(v_{\chi}) + 6m_{V}^{2}(v_{\chi}) + 18m_{Q}^{2}(v_{\chi}) + m_{h_{2}}^{2}(v_{\chi}) + 2m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^{2}(v_{\chi})\},
$$

\n
$$
T_{0}^{2} = \frac{1}{D} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} m_{h_{2}}^{2}(v_{\chi}) - \frac{1}{32\pi^{2}v_{\chi_{0}}^{2}} (6m_{U}^{4}(v_{\chi}) + 3m_{Z_{2}}^{4}(v_{\chi}) + 6m_{V}^{4}(v_{\chi}) - 36m_{Q}^{4}(v_{\chi}) + m_{h_{2}}^{4}(v_{\chi}) + 2m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^{4}(v_{\chi}) \right\},
$$

\n
$$
E' = \frac{1}{12\pi v_{\chi_{0}}^{3}} (6m_{U}^{3}(v_{\chi}) + 3m_{Z_{2}}^{3}(v_{\chi}) + 6m_{V}^{3}(v_{\chi}) + m_{h_{2}}^{3}(v_{\chi}) + 2m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^{3}(v_{\chi})
$$

\n
$$
\lambda'_{T} = \frac{m_{h_{2}}^{2}(v_{\chi})}{2v_{\chi_{0}}^{2}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}v_{\chi_{0}}^{2}m_{h_{2}}^{2}(v_{\chi})} \left[6m_{V}^{4}(v_{\chi}) \ln \frac{m_{V}^{2}(v_{\chi})}{bT^{2}} + 3m_{Z_{2}}^{4}(v_{\chi}) \ln \frac{m_{Z_{2}}^{2}(v_{\chi})}{bT^{2}} + 6m_{U}^{4}(v_{\chi}) \ln \frac{m_{U}^{2}(v_{\chi})}{bT^{2}} - 36m_{Q}^{4}(v_{\chi}) \ln \frac{m_{Q}^{2}(v_{\chi})}{bT^{2}} + m_{h_{2}}^{4}(v_{\chi}) \ln \frac{m_{h_{2}}^{2}(v_{\chi})}{bT^{2}} + 2m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^{4}(v_{\chi}) \ln \frac{m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^{2}(v_{\chi})}{bT^{2}} \right\}.
$$

\n(6)

In Eq. [\(6\),](#page-2-0) T'_0 is the temperature at which the phase transition $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ ends. As the temperature drops below T'_0 , the minimum of effective potential [\(5\)](#page-2-1) at $v_x = 0$ disappears, and the gauge symmetry $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ is totally broken. Once T'_0 is specified,
we can calculate the temperature T'_1 above which the we can calculate the temperature T_1' , above which the symmetry $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ is restored and below which the phase transition starts (and the bubble nucleation occurs, in the case OF the first-order phase transition) [\[21\]](#page-9-6):

$$
T'_{1} = \frac{T'_{0}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{9E'^{2}}{8D'\lambda'_{T'_{c}}}}}.\tag{7}
$$

At the symmetry-breaking scale v_{χ_0} , the phase-transition strength is decided by the masses of the heavy neutral Higgs h_2 , the doubly charged Higgses h^{++} and the exotic quarks. In order to have a first-order phase transition, this strength must be larger than unity; i.e., v_{χ_c} $\frac{v_{xc}}{T_c} = \frac{2E'}{\lambda'_{T_c}} \ge 1$, where T_c is the critical temperature, at c

which the values of $V_{\text{eff}}(v_\gamma)$ at the minima become equal:

$$
T_c' = \frac{T_0'}{\sqrt{1 - E'^2/D'\lambda'_{T_c}}}.
$$
\n(8)

And the heavy particle masses must be in the range of a few TeV [\[19\].](#page-9-5)

When the Universe has been expanding and cooling down to the scale v_{ρ_0} , the phase transition $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$ is turned on through ρ_0 , which generates the masses of the SM particles and the last part of the mass of $U^{\pm\pm}$. With the symmetry-breaking scale equal to $v_{\rho_0} = v_0 = 246 \text{ GeV},$ the high-temperature expansion of the effective potential has the form [\[19\]](#page-9-5)

$$
V_{\text{eff}}^{RM331}(v_{\rho}) = D(T^2 - T_0^2) \cdot v_{\rho}^2 - ET|v_{\rho}|^3 + \frac{\lambda_T}{4} v_{\rho}^4, \quad (9)
$$

where

$$
D = \frac{1}{24v_0^2} [6m_W^2(v_\rho) + 6m_U^2(v_\rho) + 3m_{Z_1}^2(v_\rho) + 3m_{Z_2}^2(v_\rho) + 6m_l^2(v_\rho) + m_{h_1}^2(v_\rho) + m_{h_2}^2(v_\rho) + 2m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^2(v_\rho)],
$$

\n
$$
T_0^2 = \frac{1}{D} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} (m_{h_1}^2(v_\rho) + m_{h_2}^2(v_\rho)) - \frac{1}{32\pi^2 v_0^2} (6m_W^4(v_\rho) + 6m_U^4(v_\rho) + 3m_{Z_1}^4(v_\rho)
$$

\n
$$
+3m_{Z_2}^4(v_\rho) - 12m_l^4(v_\rho) + m_{h_1}^4(v_\rho) + m_{h_2}^4(v_\rho) + 2m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^4(v_\rho)) \right\},
$$

\n
$$
E = \frac{1}{12\pi v_0^3} (6m_W^3(v_\rho) + 6m_U^3(v_\rho) + 3m_{Z_1}^3(v_\rho) + 3m_{Z_2}^3(v_\rho) + m_{h_1}^3(v_\rho) + m_{h_2}^3(v_\rho) + 2m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^3(v_\rho)),
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_T = \frac{m_{h_1}^2(v_\rho) + m_{h_2}^2(v_\rho)}{2v_0^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{8\pi^2 v_0^2 (m_{h_1}^2(v_\rho) + m_{h_2}^2(v_\rho))} \left[6m_W^4(v_\rho) \ln \frac{m_W^2(v_\rho)}{bT^2} + 3m_{Z_1}^4(v_\rho) \ln \frac{m_{Z_1}^2(v_\rho)}{bT^2} + 3m_{Z_1}^4(v_\rho) \ln \frac{m_{Z_2}^2(v_\rho)}{bT^2} + 6m_U^4(v_\rho) \ln \frac{m_U^2(v_\rho)}{bT^2} + 2m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^4(v_\rho) \ln \frac{m_{h^{\pm \pm}}^2(v_\rho)}{bT^2} \right\}.
$$

\n
$$
-12m_l^4(v_\rho) \ln \frac
$$

In the limit $E \to 0$, we have a second-order phase transition. In order to have a first-order phase transition, the phase-transition strength has to be larger than unity; i.e., $\frac{v_{\rho_c}}{T_c} = \frac{2E}{\lambda_{T_c}} \ge 1$, where the critical temperature T_c is given by

$$
T_c = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 - E^2 / D\lambda_{T_c}}}.\tag{11}
$$

We also have the bubble nucleation temperature T_1 :

$$
T_1 = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{9E^2}{8D\lambda_{T_c}}}}.\tag{12}
$$

Note that T_0 in Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-3-1), [\(11\),](#page-3-2) and [\(12\)](#page-3-3) is the transitionending temperature of the EWPT $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$.

From Eqs. [\(9\)](#page-2-2) and [\(10\)](#page-3-1), the effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}^{RM331}(v_{\rho})$ depends on the masses of the SM particles
and a part of the masses of the new particles h_2 and $h^{\pm\pm}$ If and a part of the masses of the new particles, h_2 and $h^{\pm\pm}$. If we forget all contributions of these new particles, the EWPT $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$ of the RM331 model becomes that of the SM; in this case, however, with $m_{h_1} = 125$ GeV, the phase-transition strength cannot be larger than unity, and then no first-order phase transition can exist. Therefore, these new particles act as triggers for the first-order phase transition.

The mass regions of h_1 and h^{++} for the first-order phase transition are [\[19\]](#page-9-5)

$$
200 \text{ GeV} < m_{h^{++}}(v_\rho) < 1200 \text{ GeV} \tag{13}
$$

and

$$
0 < m_{h_2}(v_\rho) < 624 \text{ GeV}.\tag{14}
$$

In order to calculate the sphaleron energies, we also choose the mass of h_1 and h^{++} in these regions.

III. SPHALERON ENERGY

The RM331 model is a type of non-Abelian gauge theory which incorporates the Higgs mechanism. In such a theory, the vacuum has a nontrivial structure which has the degenerated minima separated by energy barriers in the field configuration space [\[21\].](#page-9-6) For the different minima, we have the different baryon and lepton numbers. As a consequence, each transition between these different minima is accompanied by a change in the baryon number. And the B violation can be seen throughout the sphaleron processes [\[22\].](#page-9-7)

In order to study the sphaleron processes in the RM331 model, we consider the Lagrangian of the gauge-Higgs system:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge-Higgs}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + (\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\chi)^{\dagger} (\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\chi) + (\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\rho)^{\dagger} (\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\rho) - V(\chi,\rho). \tag{15}
$$

From Eq. [\(15\),](#page-3-4) the energy functional in the temporal gauge takes the form

$$
\mathcal{E} = \int d^3x [(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\chi)^{\dagger}(\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\chi) + (\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\rho)^{\dagger}(\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\rho) + V(\chi,\rho)];
$$
\n(16)

here we assume that the least energy has the pure-gauge configurations, hence $F_{ij}^a = 0$.
By the temperature expansion

By the temperature expansion of the effective potential from the previous section, the energy functional is reduced to

$$
\mathcal{E} = 4\pi \int_0^\infty d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\nabla^2 v_\chi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^2 v_\rho)^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(v_\chi, v_\rho; T) \right].
$$
\n(17)

ELECTROWEAK SPHALERONS IN THE REDUCED MINIMAL … PHYSICAL REVIEW D 90, 085019 (2014)

Using the static field approximation as follows,

$$
\frac{\partial v_{\chi}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v_{\rho}}{\partial t} = 0, \qquad (18)
$$

we obtain

$$
\mathcal{E} = \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_i v_\chi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i v_\rho)^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(v_\chi, v_\rho; T) \right]. \tag{19}
$$

From the Lagrangian [\(3\),](#page-1-2) we have two equations of motion for the VEVs:

$$
\ddot{v_{\chi}} + \nabla^2 v_{\chi} - \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\chi}, T)}{\partial v_{\chi}} = 0 \tag{20a}
$$

and

$$
\ddot{v_{\rho}} + \nabla^2 v_{\rho} - \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\rho}, T)}{\partial v_{\rho}} = 0.
$$
 (20b)

By the static field approximation [\(18\),](#page-4-1) we rewrite Eq. [\(20\)](#page-4-2) in spherical coordinates:

$$
\frac{d^2v_\chi}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{dv_\chi}{dr} - \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(v_\chi, T)}{\partial v_\chi} = 0 \tag{21a}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d^2v_\rho}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{dv_\rho}{dr} - \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(v_\rho, T)}{\partial v_\rho} = 0.
$$
 (21b)

For the RM331 model, the EWPT $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ takes place as the temperature drops to a few TeV, while the EWPT $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$ occurs as the temperature is about 100 GeV. From the energy functional [\(19\)](#page-4-3), we have the sphaleron energies in each phase transition as follows:

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\text{sphsu}(3)} = 4\pi \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dv_\chi}{dr} \right)^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(v_\chi, T) \right] r^2 dr \quad (22a)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\text{sphsu}(2)} = 4\pi \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dv_{\rho}}{dr} \right)^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\rho}, T) \right] r^2 dr, \quad (22b)
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\text{sphsu}(3)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\text{sphsu}(2)}$ are the sphaleron energies in the $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ and $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$ phase transitions, respectively.

In order to calculate these energies, we must solve the equations of motion [\(21\)](#page-4-4) for the VEVs of the Higgs fields.

IV. SPHALERON RATE

The sphaleron rate per unit time per unit volume, Γ/V , is characterized by a Boltzmann factor, $exp(-\mathcal{E}/T)$, as follows [\[23,24\]:](#page-9-8)

$$
\Gamma/V = \alpha^4 T^4 \exp\left(-\mathcal{E}/T\right),\tag{23}
$$

where V is the volume of the EWPT's region, T is the temperature, $\mathcal E$ is the sphaleron energy, and $\alpha = 1/30$. We will compare the sphaleron rate with the Hubble constant, which describes the cosmological expansion rate at the temperature T [\[25,26\]:](#page-9-9)

$$
H^2 = \frac{\pi^2 g T^4}{90 M_{pl}^2},\tag{24}
$$

where $g = 106.75$, $M_{pl} = 2.43 \times 10^{18}$ GeV.

In order to have B violation, the sphaleron rate must be larger than the Hubble rate at temperatures above the critical temperature (otherwise, B violation will become negligible during the Universe's expansion); however, the sphaleron process must be decoupled after the EWPT to ensure the generated BAU is not washed out [\[13\]](#page-9-1).

A. Upper bounds of the sphaleron rates

To estimate the upper bounds of the sphaleron rates, we suppose that the VEVs of the Higgs fields do not change from point to point in the Universe. Due to this supposition, we have $\frac{dv_x}{dr} = \frac{dv_\rho}{dr} = 0$. Hence, from Eq. [\(21\)](#page-4-4) we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\chi})}{\partial v_{\chi}} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\rho})}{\partial v_{\rho}} = 0. \tag{25}
$$

Equation [\(25\)](#page-4-5) shows that v_{χ} and v_{ρ} are the extremes of the effective potentials. Therefore, the sphaleron energies [\(22\)](#page-4-6) can be rewritten as

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\text{sphsu}(3)} = 4\pi \int V_{\text{eff}}(v_\chi, T) r^2 dr = \frac{4\pi r^3}{3} V_{\text{eff}}(v_\chi, T)|_{v_{\chi_m}}
$$
\n(26a)

and

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\text{sphsu}(2)} = 4\pi \int V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\rho}, T) r^2 dr = \frac{4\pi r^3}{3} V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\rho}, T)|_{v_{\rho_m}},
$$
\n(26b)

where v_{χ_m} , v_{ρ_m} are the VEVs at the maximum of the effective potentials. From Eq. [\(26\)](#page-4-7), the sphaleron energies are equal to the maximum heights of the potential barriers.

The Universe's volume at a temperature T is given by $V = \frac{4\pi r^3}{3} = \frac{1}{T^3}$. Because the whole Universe is an identically thermal bath, the sphaleron energies are approximately thermal bath, the sphaleron energies are approximately

FIG. 1 (color online). The sphaleron rate in the phase transition $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$. We choose $m_{h_2}(v_\rho) = 100 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{h^{\pm\pm}}(v_\rho) = 350 \text{ GeV}.$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\text{sphsu}(3)} \sim \frac{E^{\prime 4}T}{4\lambda_T^{\prime 3}}, \mathcal{E}_{\text{sphsu}(2)} \sim \frac{E^4T}{4\lambda_T^3}.\tag{27}
$$

From the definition [\(23\),](#page-4-8) the sphaleron rates take the forms

$$
\Gamma_{su(3)} = \alpha_w^4 T \exp\left(-\frac{E'^4 T}{4\lambda_T'^3 T}\right) \tag{28a}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{su(2)} = \alpha_w^4 T \exp\left(-\frac{E^4 T}{4\lambda_T^3 T}\right).
$$
 (28b)

For the heavy particles, E, λ, E' and λ' are constant. Hence, the sphaleron rates in this approximation are the linear functions of temperature, as illustrated in Fig. [1](#page-5-0) for the case of the phase transition $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$.

From Eq. [\(28\)](#page-5-1), we estimate the upper bounds of the sphaleron rates as follows:

$$
\Gamma_{su(3)} \sim 10^{-3} \gg H; \quad \Gamma_{su(2)} \sim 10^{-4} \gg H \sim 10^{-13}.
$$
 (29)

In this approximation, however, the sphaleron decoupling condition cannot be satisfied. For instance, with $m_{h_2}(v_\rho) = 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{h^{\pm \pm}}$
temperature drops below the ph $(v_\rho) = 350 \text{ GeV}$, as the ase-transition temperature temperature drops below the phase-transition temperature $T_c = 138.562$ GeV and the Universe switches to the symmetry-breaking phase, the sphaleron rate is still much larger than the Hubble constant, and this makes the B violation washed out. By this consequence, the sphaleron process cannot occur identically in large regions of space; it can only take place in the microscopic regions.

B. Sphaleron rates in a thin-wall approximation

At every point in the early Universe, the effective potential varies as a function of magnitude of the Higgs field at various temperatures, as illustrated in Fig. [2](#page-5-2) for the case of $V_{\text{eff}}^{RM331}(v_\rho)$. If the temperature at a spatial location

FIG. 2 (color online). The effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}^{RM331}(v_{\rho})$ in the EWPT $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$ We choose $m_v (v) = 100 \text{ GeV}$ EWPT $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$. We choose $m_{h_2}(v_\rho) = 100$ GeV,
 $m_{i+1}(v_1) = 350$ GeV. We obtain the bubble nucleation $m_{h^{\pm\pm}}(v_\rho) = 350 \text{ GeV}$. We obtain the bubble nucleation
temperature $T_1 \approx 141.574 \text{ GeV}$ the critical temperature temperature $T_1 \approx 141.574 \text{ GeV}$, the critical temperature $T_c = 138.562$ GeV, and the transition-ending temperature $T_0 = 118.42 \text{ GeV}.$

is higher than the bubble nucleation temperature T_1 , then $V_{\text{eff}}^{RM331}(v_{\rho})$ at this location has only one minimum at $v_{\text{eff}} = 0$ and this location belongs to a symmetric phase $v_{\rho} = 0$, and this location belongs to a symmetric phase region. As the temperature drops below T_1 , the second minimum of $V_{\text{eff}}^{RM331}(v_{\rho})$ gradually forms, and the potential
barrier which separates two minima gradually appears. At barrier which separates two minima gradually appears. At this spatial location, v_{ρ} can be changed by thermal fluctuations so that $V_{\text{eff}}^{RM331}(v_{\rho})$ gets the second minimum.
The phase transition occurs microscopically resulting in a The phase transition occurs microscopically, resulting in a tiny bubble of broken phase in which the Higgs field ρ acquires a nonzero expectation value. As the temperature reaches the critical temperature T_c , the second minimum becomes equal to the first minimum of $V_{\text{eff}}^{RM331}(v_\rho)$. But
when the temperature goes below T the second minimum when the temperature goes below T_c , the second minimum becomes the lower one corresponding to a true vacuum, while the first minimum becomes the false vacuum. Such tiny true-vacuum bubbles at various locations in the

ELECTROWEAK SPHALERONS IN THE REDUCED MINIMAL … PHYSICAL REVIEW D 90, 085019 (2014)

Universe can occur randomly and expand in the midst of false vacuum. If the sphaleron rate is larger than the Universe's expansion rate, the bubbles can collide and merge until the true vacuum fills all space. However, if the sphaleron decoupling condition is satisfied after the transition, the sphaleron rate must be smaller than the cosmological expansion rate when the temperature goes from T_c to T_0 , at which the first minimum of $V_{\text{eff}}^{RM331}(v_\rho)$ completely disappears.

Suppose that in a bubble of the phase transition $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\chi})}{\partial v_{\chi}} \approx \frac{\Delta V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\chi})}{\Delta v_{\chi}} = \text{const} \equiv M';\tag{30a}
$$

here $\Delta v_{\chi} = v_{\chi_c}$, $\Delta V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\chi}) = V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\chi_c}) - V_{\text{eff}}(0)$, and v_{χ_c}
is a second minimum of the effective notential for this is a second minimum of the effective potential for this transition. Similarly, in a bubble of the phase transition $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\rho})}{\partial v_{\rho}} \approx \frac{\Delta V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\rho})}{\Delta v_{\rho}} = \text{const} \equiv M; \quad (30b)
$$

here $\Delta v_{\rho} = v_{\rho_c}$, $\Delta V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\rho}) = V_{\text{eff}}(v_{\rho_c}) - V_{\text{eff}}(0)$, and v_{ρ_c}
is a second minimum of the effective potential for the phase is a second minimum of the effective potential for the phase transition.

Now, we solve the equations of motion [\(21\)](#page-4-4) for the VEVs v_{χ} and v_{ρ} by the approximation [\(30\).](#page-6-0) Rewritting Eq. [\(21\)](#page-4-4) in this approximation, we have

$$
\frac{d^2v_\chi}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{dv_\chi}{dr} = M'\tag{31a}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d^2v_{\rho}}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{dv_{\rho}}{dr} = M.
$$
 (31b)

In the cases that $r \to \infty$ (the spatial locations are in the symmetric phase) or $r \to 0$ (the spatial locations are in the broken phase), the VEVs must satisfy the boundary conditions:

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} v_{\chi}(r) = \lim_{r \to \infty} v_{\rho}(r) = 0;
$$
\n
$$
\left. \frac{dv_{\chi}(r)}{dr} \right|_{r=0} = \left. \frac{dv_{\rho}(r)}{dr} \right|_{r=0} = 0.
$$
\n(32)

In the bubble walls, the solutions of Eq. [\(31\)](#page-6-1) take the forms

$$
v_{\chi} = \frac{M'}{6}r^2 - A'/r + B'
$$
 (33a)

and

$$
v_{\rho} = \frac{M}{6}r^2 - A/r + B,\tag{33b}
$$

where A', B', A, B are the parameters to be specified.

The continuity of the scalar fields in a bubble results in the two following systems of equations. The first is the system for the EWPT $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$:

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{M'}{6} R_{b,su(3)}^2 - A'/R_{b,su(3)} + B' = v_{\chi_c}, \\ \frac{M'}{6} (R_{b,su(3)} + \Delta l')^2 - A'/(R_{b,su(3)} + \Delta l') + B' = 0, \end{cases}
$$
(34a)

where $R_{b,su(3)}$ and $\Delta l'$ are, respectively, the radius and the well thickness of a bubble which is puckated in the phase wall thickness of a bubble which is nucleated in the phase transition $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$. The second system is that for the EWPT $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(1)$:

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{M}{6} R_{b,su(2)}^2 - A/R_{b,su(2)} + B = v_{\rho_c}, \\ \frac{M}{6} (R_{b,su(2)} + \Delta l)^2 - A/(R_{b,su(2)} + \Delta l) + B = 0, \end{cases}
$$
(34b)

where $R_{b,su(2)}$ and Δl are, respectively, the radius and the wall thickness of a bubble nucleated in the phase transition $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$.

Solving the systems of Eq. [\(34\),](#page-6-2) we obtain the solutions v_{γ} and v_{ρ} , which are of the forms

$$
v_{\chi}(r) = \begin{cases} v_{\chi_c}; & \text{when } r \le R_{b,su(3)}, \\ \frac{M'}{6}r^2 - A'/r + B'; & \text{when } R_{b,su(3)} < r \le R_{b,su(3)} + \Delta l' \\ 0; & \text{when } R_{b,su(3)} + \Delta l' < r \end{cases}
$$
(35a)

and

$$
v_{\rho}(r) = \begin{cases} v_{\rho_c}; & \text{when } r \le R_{b,su(2)}, \\ \frac{M}{6}r^2 - A/r + B; & \text{when } R_{b,su(2)} < r \le R_{b,su(2)} + \Delta l \\ 0; & \text{when } R_{b,su(2)} + \Delta l < r. \end{cases}
$$
(35b)

FIG. 3. The solutions $v_{\gamma}(r)$ and $v_{\rho}(r)$ in the phase transitions $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ and $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$, respectively. We choose $m_{h_2}(v_\gamma) = 1500 \text{ GeV}, m_a(v_\gamma) = 1500 \text{ GeV}, m_{h_2}(v_o) =$ 100 GeV, and $m_{h^{\pm\pm}}(v_{\rho}) = 350$ GeV. The regions in grey portray
the thin walls of vacuum bubbles pucleated in each phase the thin walls of vacuum bubbles nucleated in each phase transition.

To go on, we must overcome two obstacles. The first one is that we have only four equations in the systems [\(34\),](#page-6-2) but we have to specify eight unknown parameters $[A', B', \Delta l',$ $R_{b.su(3)}$, A, B, Δl , and $R_{b.su(2)}$]. To overcome this, we suppose the sphaleron rate to be equal to the Hubble rate at the critical temperature. This supposition relies on the requirement for avoiding the washout of the generated BAU after a phase transition, by which the sphaleron rate must be larger than the Hubble rate at temperatures above the critical temperature, but the sphaleron rate must be smaller than the Hubble rate at temperatures below the critical temperature.

The second obstacle is that the masses of many heavy particles in the RM331 model are unknown so far. However, we can estimate their mass regions which satisfy the conditions for the first-order phase transition, and we choose any values in these mass regions for calculation of the sphaleron energies. Although the strengths of the first-order phase transitions in this model are sufficiently strong (> 1) , they are not so strong (< 5) as shown in Ref. [\[19\],](#page-9-5) and hence the coefficients in the effective potential are not meaningfully different for the different values in these mass regions. Here, we choose $m_q = m_{h_2}(v_\chi) = 1500 \,\text{GeV}, m_{h_2}(v_\rho) = 100 \,\text{GeV},$
and $m_{\text{tot}}(v_\chi) = 350 \,\text{GeV}$ and $m_{h^{\pm \pm}}$ $\begin{array}{c} \n\overline{v}_\rho$ = 350 GeV.

In Fig. [3](#page-7-0), we show our respective solutions $v_{\chi}(r)$ and $v_o(r)$. These solutions are not as smooth as those in Refs. [\[13,24,27\]](#page-9-1). The reason is that the bubble walls we consider in this work are very thin, Δl , $\Delta l' \ll 1/T$ (while in Ref. [\[27\],](#page-9-10) for instance, the authors consider the case in which $\Delta l \gg 1/T$). Inside the thin walls of the bubbles, the derivatives $\frac{dv_\chi}{dr}$ and $\frac{dv_\rho}{dr}$ are very large; this allows the Higgs fields χ and ρ to change their values over the potential barriers. Therefore, the thinner the bubble walls, the larger the sphaleron rates.

The calculation results for the sphaleron rates of both phase transitions in the sequence of EWPTs are shown in Tables [II](#page-7-1) and [III.](#page-8-7) These results show the behavior of the sphaleron rates in the cosmological expansion as the Universe cools through the respective critical temperature of each transition in the sequence.

From the results in Table [II,](#page-7-1) the gauge symmetry $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ starts to be broken at the bubble nucleation temperature $T'_1 \approx 1479.48$ GeV. The tiny bubbles with radius 10^{-5} GeV⁻¹ appear and store the nonzero v_{χ_0} inside. At this temperature, the sphaleron rate is as large as 1.63719×10^{-5} GeV, which is 5.31×10^{6} times larger than the cosmological expansion rate $(H = 3.08195 \times$ 10[−]¹² GeV). As the temperature drops from the nucleation temperature T_1' to the critical temperature T_c' , the bubbles increase in size and the sphaleron rate decreases, but it is still much larger than the Hubble rate. This allows the bubbles to collide and coalesce. When the temperature reaches the critical temperature $T_c = 1388.4556 \text{ GeV}$, the sphaleron rate is equal to the Hubble rate as we supposed sphaleron rate is equal to the Hubble rate as we supposed. At temperatures below T_c' , the sphaleron rate decreases very quickly, and it becomes much smaller than the Hubble rate. As the temperature reaches the transition-ending temperature $T'_0 = 865.024$ GeV, only the broken phase remains,
and the sphaleron $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ is totally shut off and the sphaleron $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ is totally shut off.

T [GeV]	$R_{b.su(3)}$ [10 ⁻⁶ × GeV ⁻¹]	$R_{b.su(3)}/\Delta l'$	$\mathcal{E}_{\text{sph}SU(3)}$ [GeV]	$\Gamma_{SU(3)}$ [10 ⁻¹¹ × GeV]	$H [10^{-12} \times GeV]$	$\Gamma_{SU(3)}/H$
1479.48 (T'_1)	10	10	6975.17	1.63719×10^{6}	3.08195	5.31×10^{6}
1450	12	12	12481.3	3.2702×10^{4}	2.96034	1.10×10^{5}
1400	13	13	17206.3	7.94481×10^{2}	2.7597	2.878×10^3
1390	15	15	23251.7	9.3264	2.72042	3.42
1388.4556 (T_c')	16.5	16.5	28135.1	0.2714	2.71438	
1387	17	17	29854.0	0.07687	2.70869	0.28
1000	19	19	60590.8	5.98×10^{-19}	1.40801	4.25×10^{-18}
900	22	22	89250.8	9.50×10^{-36}	1.14049	8.33×10^{-35}
865.024 (T'_0)	25	25	119110.36	1.69×10^{-52}	1.05357	1.60×10^{-51}

TABLE II. The sphaleron rate in the EWPT $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ with $m_q(v_\gamma) = m_{h2}(v_\gamma) = 1500$ GeV.

T [GeV]	$R_{s.su(2)}$ [10 ⁻⁴ × GeV ⁻¹]	$R_{s.su(2)}/\Delta l$	$\mathcal{E}_{sph.SU(2)}$ [GeV]	$\Gamma_{SU(2)}$ $[10^{-12} \times \text{GeV}]$	$H [10^{-14} \times \text{GeV}]$	$\Gamma_{SU(2)}/H$
141.574 (T_1)	₆	10	742.838	919936.07	2.82211	3.25×10^{7}
141.5		10	1020.87	128525.28	2.81916	4.55×10^{6}
141	10	10	1442.75	6264.89	2.79927	2.23×10^5
140	12	12	2342.21	9.37289	2.7597	339.6
138.562 (T_c)	13.1	13	3135.75	0.02703	2.703	
137	14	14	3922.29	0.0000622	2.6427	2.357×10^{-3}
130	16	16	6567.08	1.847×10^{-14}	2.379	7.76×10^{-13}
120	18	18	10068.2	5.403×10^{-29}	2.02754	2.66×10^{-27}
118.42 (T_0)	20	20	12656.7	5.595×10^{-39}	6.209	9.01×10^{-38}

TABLE III. The sphaleron rate in the EWPT $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$ with $m_{h2}(v_{\rho}) = 100$ GeV, $m_{h^{\pm\pm}}(v_{\rho}) = 350$ GeV.

When the Universe lowers its energy to the scale v_{ρ_0} due to its expansion, a similar process takes place for the gauge symmetry $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$. From Table [III,](#page-8-7) the broken phase of the EWPT $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$ starts at the bubble nucleation temperature $T_1 \approx 141.574$ GeV in the bubbles with radius 6×10^{-4} GeV⁻¹. At this temperature, the sphaleron rate is 919936.07 \times 10⁻¹² GeV, which is 3.25 \times 10^7 times larger than the Hubble rate $(H = 2.82211 \times$ 10^{-14} GeV). As the temperature drops below T_1 , the sphaleron rate is larger than the Hubble rate, and this lasts until the temperature reaches the critical temperature $T_c = 138.562$ GeV. As the temperature goes from T_c to $T₀$, the sphaleron rate is smaller than the Hubble rate, and it becomes negligible at $T_0 = 118.42$ GeV when the transition $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(1)$ ends.

For both EWPTs, baryon violation strongly takes place in regions of electroweak symmetries; however, it quickly shuts off in bubbles of broken phases due to the large Higgs VEVs. This may provide a relationship between nonequilibrium physics and baryon violation necessary for baryogenesis, according to the mechanism of Cohen, Kaplan, and Nelson [\[2\].](#page-8-1) Therefore, the electroweak sphaleron in the RM331 model gives us a possibility for BAU from an initially baryon-symmetric Universe.

V. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOKS

We have investigated the electroweak sphalerons in the reduced minimal 3-3-1 (RM331) model through calculating the sphaleron rates by the thin-wall approximation [\(30\).](#page-6-0) For both transitions in the sequence of EWPTs in this model, $SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$ and $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)$, the sphaleron rate is larger than the cosmological expansion rate at temperatures above the critical temperature and is smaller than the cosmological expansion rate at temperatures below the critical temperature. For each transition, B violation strongly takes place in the symmetric phase regions, but it essentially shuts off in the broken phase bubbles. This may provide the B violation necessary for baryogenesis, as required by the first of Sakharov's conditions, in a relationship with nonequilibrium physics.

As summarized from our previous work [\[19\],](#page-9-5) both transitions in the EWPT sequence in this model are of the first order, and they are sufficiently strong (i.e., their strengths are larger than unity). Each transition proceeds through violent nucleation of bubbles of the broken phase as the Universe cools through the respective critical temperatures. This sequence of strong EWPTs may provide a source of large deviations from thermal equilibrium, as required by the third of Sakharov's conditions.

However, in order to establish that the RM331 model contains all necessary components for EWBG, we need to investigate the C- and CP-violating interactions in the model to ensure that the model satisfies the second of Sakharov's conditions. This is a focus in our next works.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under Grant No. 103.01-2014.51.

- [1] A. D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. **5**, 24 (1967).
- [2] A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan, and A. E. Nelson, [Annu. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ns.43.120193.000331) [Nucl. Part. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ns.43.120193.000331) 43, 27 (1993); A. G. Cohen, in Physics at the Frontiers of the Standard Model, 2nd Rencontres du Vietnam (Ho Chi Minh City, 1995), pp. 311–315.
- [3] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rep. **121**[, 263 \(1985\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(85)90033-X).
- [4] G. 't Hooft, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.37.8) 37, 8 (1976); [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3432) 14, [3432 \(1976\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3432).
- [5] F. R. Klinkhamer and N. S. Manton, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.30.2212) 30, 2212 [\(1984\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.30.2212)
- [6] V. A. Kuzmin, V. A. Rubakov, and M. E. Shaposhnikov, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(85)91028-7) 155, 36 (1985).

- [7] K. Funakubo and E. Senaha, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.115024) 79, 115024 [\(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.115024) J. M. Moreno, D. H. Oaknin, and M. Quiros, Nucl. Phys. B483[, 267 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00562-7)
- [8] J. Grant and M. Hindmarsh, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.016002) 64, 016002 [\(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.016002)
- [9] T. Akiba, H. Kikuchi, and T. Yanagida, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.40.588) 40, 588 [\(1989\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.40.588) F. R. Klinkhamer and N. S. Manton, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.30.2212) 30[, 2212 \(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.30.2212).
- [10] G.D. Moore, Phys. Rev. D **59**[, 014503 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.014503)
- [11] G. R. Farrar and M. E. Shaposhnikov, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2833) 70, [2833 \(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2833).
- [12] P. Arnold and L. McLerran, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.36.581) 36, 581 (1987).
- [13] K. Funakubo and E. Senaha, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.115024) 79, 115024 [\(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.115024) M. Dvornikov and V. B. Semikoz, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.025023) 87, [025023 \(2013\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.025023) T. M. Gould and I. Z. Rothstein, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.5917) D 48[, 5917 \(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.5917); K. Fuyuto and E. Senaha, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.015015) 90[, 015015 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.015015)
- [14] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.410) 46, 410 (1992); P. H. Frampton, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2889) 69, 2889 (1992); R. Foot, O. F. Hernández, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.47.4158) 47, [4158 \(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.47.4158).
- [15] M. Singer, J. W. F. Valle, and J. Schechter, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.22.738) 22, [738 \(1980\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.22.738); R. Foot, H. N. Long, and T. A. Tran, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.R34) D 50[, R34 \(1994\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.R34) J. C. Montero, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D 47[, 2918 \(1993\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.47.2918) H. N. Long, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.53.437) 53, [437 \(1996\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.53.437) 54[, 4691 \(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.4691); [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732398001959) 13, 1865 [\(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732398001959)
- [16] W. A. Ponce, Y. Giraldo, and L. A. Sanchez, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.075001) 67[, 075001 \(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.075001); P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, D. T. Nhung, and D. V. Soa, Phys. Rev. D 73[, 035004 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.035004) P. V. Dong and H. N. Long, [Adv. High Energy Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2008/739492) 2008, 1 (2008); P. V. Dong, Tr. T. Huong, D. T. Huong, and H. N. Long,

Phys. Rev. D 74[, 053003 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.053003); P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, and D. V. Soa, Phys. Rev. D 73[, 075005 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.075005); 75[, 073006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.073006) [\(2007\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.073006) P. V. Dong, H. T. Hung, and H. N. Long, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.033002) D 86[, 033002 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.033002).

- [17] J. G. Ferreira, Jr., P. R. D. Pinheiro, C. A. de S. Pires, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. D 84[, 095019 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.095019); V. T. N. Huyen, T. T. Lam, H. N. Long, and V. Q. Phong, [Communications in Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.15625/0868-3166/24/2/3774) 24, 97 (2014).
- [18] C. A. de S. Pires and O. P. Ravinez, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.035008) 58, [035008 \(1998\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.035008); A. Doff and F. Pisano, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732399001218) 14[, 1133 \(1999\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732399001218) A. Doff and F. Pisano [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.097903) 63, [097903 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.097903); P. V. Dong and H. N. Long, [Int. J. Mod.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X06035191) Phys. A 21[, 6677 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X06035191)
- [19] V. Q. Phong, V. T. Van, and H. N. Long, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.096009) 88, [096009 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.096009)
- [20] A. G. Dias and V. Pleitez, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.056007) 80, 056007 [\(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.056007)
- [21] V. Mukhanov, Physical Foundations of Cosmology (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2005).
- [22] K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen, and M. Shaposhnikov, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.2887) 77, 2887 (1996); F. Csikor, Z. Fodor, and J. Heitger, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.21) 82, 21 (1999); J. Grant and M. Hindmarsh, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.016002) 64, 016002 [\(2001\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.016002)
- [23] P. Arnold and L. McLerran, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.36.581) 36, 581 (1987); 37[, 1020 \(1988\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.37.1020).
- [24] Y. Brihaye and J. Kunz, Phys. Rev. D 48[, 3884 \(1993\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.3884)
- [25] M. Joyce, Phys. Rev. D **55**[, 1875 \(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.1875).
- [26] M. D'Onofrio, K. Rummukainen, and A. Tranberg, [J. High](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2012)123) [Energy Phys. 08 \(2012\) 123.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2012)123)
- [27] S. J. Huber, P. John, and M. G. Schmidt, [Eur. Phys. J. C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/PL00022989) 20, [695 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/PL00022989).