# Standard Model at the LHC (Lecture 3: Measurement of Cross-Sections)

# M. Schott (CERN)

▲□▶ 4@▶ 4 \\ = ▶ \\ = \\ \\ \\ \\ \

#### Content





- **3** Background Estimations
- **4** Detector Calibration





<ロト<日ト<三ト<三ト<三ト<三ト 3/29

## Cross-Section and Luminosity (1/1)

- Physically, we want to determine the fundamental parameters of a theory
- We are measuring cross-sections, which are functions of these parameters = theoretical event rates
- In experiments we measure numbers of events which reflect
  - The running time of the experiment, and beam and target density, summarized by the luminosity parameter, L
  - Backgrounds, B Detector efficiency,  $\epsilon$ , and finite acceptance A
- both are related by

$$N = L \cdot \sigma \cdot \epsilon \cdot A + B$$

or

$$\sigma = \frac{N - B}{L\epsilon \cdot A}$$

## **Cross-Section and Luminosity** (2/2)

Cross-sections are defined as surfaces (hence the name!). Hence Luminosity is defined as "N per unit surface" such that the number of collisions is  $N_{Coll} = \sigma \times L$ 

• Consider the problem of classic billiard balls:



Cross-section is here:  $\sigma = 4\pi R^2$ . Assume now a beam of incoming balls:



One is easily convinced that the number of collisions is

$$N_{Coll} = \sigma \times \frac{N_{beam}}{\sigma_{beam}} = \sigma \times \frac{dN_{beam}/dt}{\sigma_{beam}} \Delta t == \sigma \times L$$

We keep the same definitions in particle physics...,  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$ ,

<ロト<問ト<臣ト<臣ト 5/29

## **Acceptance and Efficiencies**

These parameters are related to the detector. Imagine the simple example of a detector measuring electrons from  $\beta$ -decay.



Assume the emission is isotropic. The detector will only catch those that come into its direction. The acceptance is the fraction of solid angle covered, as seen from the source:

$$A = rac{\Omega}{4\pi}$$

The efficiency is the fraction of recorded electrons that pass "quality cuts"

$$\epsilon = \frac{N_{Accepted}}{N_{Recorded}}$$

## **Uncertainty Information**

Simple error propagation gives, in terms of relative uncertainties

$$\frac{\delta\sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{\delta N \oplus \delta B}{N - B} \oplus \frac{\delta L}{L} \oplus \frac{\delta A}{A} \oplus \frac{\delta\epsilon}{\epsilon}$$

Uncertainty on N : the "statistical uncertainty". Event counting follows the Poisson distribution. At large N (N > 5), this tends to the Gaussian distribution, of width  $\sigma\sqrt{N}$ 

- Convention
  - Choosing the convention to quote  $1\sigma$  uncertainties
  - refers to the interval  $[\mu-\sigma,\mu+\sigma]$  of the gaussian function

- We claim that the true value has 68% chance lying in the interval
- "1 $\sigma$ " ( $\approx$  68%), "2 $\sigma$ " ( $\approx$  95%), "3 $\sigma$ " ( $\approx$  99.7%)

## Basic Formula and Summary of Concepts

Theory predicts a certain cross-section  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ 

• we can calculate it via the Matrix-Element and the Feynman-Rules

Experimentalists measure cross-sections

# Basic Formula $\sigma = \frac{N-B}{\epsilon \cdot A \cdot L}$

- How do we know, how a certain process looks in out detector?
- How can we distinguish between signal and background
- How can we estimate the detector efficiency  $\epsilon$
- ullet ightarrow Use Computer Simulations of the detector

# Detector Simulation (1/3)

#### Simulation of proton-proton collisions

- Step 1: Event-Generator (See previous lectures): Simulate scattering of protons until all final state particles are "stable"
- Step 2: Simulate response of particle detector
- Precise knowledge of the processes leading to signals in particle detectors is necessary.
- Thanks to the huge available computing power, detectors can be simulated to within 1-10% of reality
- Simulation based on a very precise description of:
  - the fundamental physics processes at the microscopic level (atomic and nuclear cross-sections)
  - the signal processing (electronics and readout),
  - the detector geometry (tens of millions of volumes)

# Detector Simulation (2/3)

- Very accurate simulations of particle detectors are possible due to availability of Finite Element simulation programs and computing power.
  - Principle: Follow every single electron by applying first-principle laws of physics.
  - Millions of particle to follow for each simulated Event
  - Very small step-sizes (  $\mu m$  -level)
- Consequences
  - The full MC simulation of one proton-proton collision takes 5-15min in average PC
  - We can simulate only a tiny fraction of proton-proton collisions (requiring specified properties, e.g. at least one Z-Boson should be created)
- Need Large Computer centers not only to reconstruct the recorded events from the detector, but also to simulate millions of specific processes.

10/20

# Detector Simulation $(\overline{3/3})$



Toroid Magnets Solenoid Magnet SCT Tracker Pixel Detector TRT Tracker







(日)

## Hands-On Session

Before we proceed, it is useful to do some basic hands-on sessions

- Calculate the invariant mass of muon pair
- Measure the Z-boson mass with LHC data
- Measure the production cross-section of  $\sigma(\textit{pp} 
  ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$

# Calculate the invariant mass

#### ATLAS/CMS can measure

- the momentum  $(p_x, p_y, p_z)$  of the muons
- the charge of the muons
- further properties like isolation

Calculate the invariant mass of two muons with

- $\vec{p}_1 = (4, -47, -171)[GeV]$
- $\vec{p}_2 = (27, -31, -62)[GeV]$
- Assume the muon to be massless and use natural units

• Hint: 
$$P^2 = M^2$$





<ロト<日本</th>
 (日本)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)
 (10)</th

Recent LHC Results

## **Representing Data**

- Step 1: Create your "own" histogram from data
- Step 2: Add the Monte Carlo Prediction
- Step 3: Why do we see differences?





・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

13/20

Recent LHC Results

# **Optimizing Selection**

- Two muons can be produced from
  - $Z/\gamma$
  - QCD
  - top-pair dacays
- Find ways to descriminate signal from background
  - charge
  - isolation
- Step 4: Select signal-like events and redo the histogram





<ロト<部ト<差ト<差ト 15/29

## **Calculate Cross-Section and Mass**

What do we need?

- Number of signal events  $N_S$
- MC Prediction of background events  $N_B = 0.0$
- MC Prediction of Detector Efficiency  $\epsilon = 0.35$
- Data corresponds to  $\mathcal{L} = 0.1 p b^{-1}$

Measure the mass

• Take mean-value of Histogram

# **Background Estimations**

- The Z-Boson decay into leptons is a very clean process.
- Usually the process we are interested have much higher background rates

#### Problem

How to estimate the number of background events in your event selection?



◆□> ◆圖> ◆国> ◆国> 三国

# **Monte Carlo Prediction**

Simplest Approach: Use Monte Carlo prediction of background

- Step 1: Calculate Matrix Elements (Event Generator)
- Step 2: Simulate Detector Response
- Step 3: Apply full event selection
- Step 4: Calculate Systematics
  - Theoretical uncertainty on ME
  - Uncertainties in detector simulation



# Limitations of Monte Carlo Prediction

#### Limitations of MC Prediction

- Large theoretical uncertainties
- Large detector uncertainties
- Limited Monte Carlo Statistics
- Idea: Use data itself to estimate Background
- Large part of LHC data-analysis to find robust and precise ways to estimate background with data
- Guiding Principle
  - Use simulations to test your idea and evaluate systematics
  - Apply method to data

#### **Data-Driven Background Estimation Method**

- Side-Band method
- Matrix-Method

Recent LHC Results

# Side-Band Method

Assume that signal is localised in one observable

 Example: Invariant mass of two Kaons from a Φ(1020) decay

Procedure:

- Define function that describes background
- Fit function to the observed spectra in the regions without signal (=sidebands)
- Estimate background in signal region



Recent LHC Results

## **Matrix Method**

Assume that we have two, uncorrelated(!) observables, which separate signal and background

- Example: Di-muon final state
  - Signal  $(Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$  and Background  $(QCD \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$
  - use charge and isolation

Matrix Method

• Define 4 regions (A,B,C,D)

$$\frac{N_A^{Bkg}}{N_B^{Bkg}} = \frac{N_C^{Bkg}}{N_D^{Bkg}}$$
$$N_A^{Bkg} = \frac{N_C^{Bkg}}{N_D^{Bkg}} \times N_B^{Bkg}$$



201/20

## How do we know that our MC is good?

#### Problem: Detector Simulation is a tricky business

- millions of interactions
- lots of nuclear interactions (hard to calculate)
- very complex detectors
- alignment
- ...

Idea:

- Let's use data again to understand our detector
- Calibrate our detector simulation
  - Energy/Momentum Calibration

# Energy/Momentum Calibration

 ${\sf Energy}/{\sf Momentum}\ {\sf Resolution}$ 

$$p_T^{Reco} = gaus(s \times p_T^{Truth}, \sigma)$$

The truth momentum gets smeared with a gaussian function with center  $(s \times p_T^{Truth})$  and width  $\sigma$ 

- *s* = momentum scale
- $\sigma = momentum resolution$

How to determine s and  $\sigma$ ?

 $\sigma$ 

- Use references process
- e.g. Z-Boson mass is extremely well known
  - Compare measurement with prediction  $\rightarrow$  determine s and



# **Efficiency Calibration**

Two kind of 'efficiencies':

- efficiency that particle is in the geometrical acceptance of the detector
  - called detector acceptance
  - taken from MC simulations
- efficiency that a particle gets reconstructed by the detector
  - how to proof if detector simulation is correct?

#### Tag&Probe (for e.g. $Z ightarrow \mu \mu$ )

- Use redundency of detector
- muons get reconstucted in ID and MS



Recent LHC Results

## **Recent LHC Results**



Many of cross-sections have been already measured at the LHC and compared with the SM-prediction. So far we observe a very nice agreement between prediction and measurement

# **Z-Boson Production Cross-Section**

#### Signal Selection

- Two opposite charged, same flavor leptons
- *p*<sub>T</sub>20GeV
- isolated
- $60 \, GeV < m_{II} < 120 \, GeV$

#### Backgrounds

- some QCD
- some Top

Results (stat+sys+lumi)

•  $\sigma_{meas.} = 937 \pm 6 \pm 18 \pm 32 \text{ pb}$ 

• 
$$\sigma_{theo.}=964\pm18$$
 pb



**Recent LHC Results** 

## **Differential Z-Boson** $p_T$ **Cross-Section**

We can also measure differential cross-section vs. observables

• Example: Cross-sections for different bins of  $p_T^Z$ 

Transverse momentum of the Z-Boson

- not possible at Born-level (lowest order)
- induced by Initial State Radiation (ISR)
  - Important test of perturbative (and even non-perturbative) QCD Calculations





# **Top-Quark Production Cross-Section**

Signal Selection: semi-leptonic decay

- 1 isolated lepton, p<sub>T</sub>20GeV
- 3 reconstructed jets, *E*<sub>T</sub>20GeV
- 1 b-tagged jet
- $E_T^{Miss}$ 40 GeV

#### Backgrounds

- some QCD
- some Top

Results (stat+sys+lumi)

•  $\sigma_{meas.} = 176 \pm 5 \pm 14 \pm 8 \text{ pb}$ 

• 
$$\sigma_{\textit{theo.}} = 165 \pm 15$$
 pt



## WW-Boson Production Cross-Section

Signal Selection: leptonic decay

- 2 isolated leptons: p<sub>T</sub>20GeV
- *E<sub>T</sub><sup>Miss</sup>*25,40 GeV
- Z-Boson veto
- no jets with E<sub>T</sub>20GeV

Backgrounds

- Top-Pairs
- $Z/\gamma^*$

Results (stat+sys+lumi)

• 
$$\sigma_{meas.} = 48 \pm 4 \pm 6 \pm 2 \text{ pb}$$

• 
$$\sigma_{\textit{theo.}} = 46 \pm 3 \; \text{pb}$$



ヘロト ヘ週ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

臣

#### Summary of Lecture 3

We measured our own cross-section via  $\sigma = \frac{N-B}{eAL}$ We learned some methods to determine the background contributions Some recent LHC results: It seems we understand the theory the detector! Lets find something new!