Standard Model at the LHC (Lecture 3: Measurement of Cross-Sections)

M. Schott (CERN)

- [Background Estimations](#page-15-0)
- [Detector Calibration](#page-20-0)

Cross-Section and Luminosity (1/1)

- Physically, we want to determine the fundamental parameters of a theory
- We are measuring cross-sections, which are functions of these $parameters = theoretical event rates$
- In experiments we measure numbers of events which reflect
	- The running time of the experiment, and beam and target density, summarized by the luminosity parameter, L
	- Backgrounds, B Detector efficiency, ϵ , and finite acceptance A
- both are related by

$$
N = L \cdot \sigma \cdot \epsilon \cdot A + B
$$

or

$$
\sigma = \frac{N-B}{L\epsilon \cdot A}
$$

Cross-Section and Luminosity (2/2)

Cross-sections are defined as surfaces (hence the name!). Hence Luminosity is defined as "N per unit surface" such that the number of collisions is $N_{Coll} = \sigma \times L$

• Consider the problem of classic billiard balls:

Cross-section is here: $\sigma = 4\pi R^2$. Assume now a beam of incoming balls:

One is easily convinced that the number of collisions is

$$
N_{Coll} = \sigma \times \frac{N_{beam}}{\sigma_{beam}} = \sigma \times \frac{dN_{beam}/dt}{\sigma_{beam}} \Delta t = = \sigma \times L
$$

We keep the same definitions in particle physics,

Acceptance and Efficiencies

These parameters are related to the detector. Imagine the simple example of a detector measuring electrons from β -decay.

Assume the emission is isotropic. The detector will only catch those that come into its direction. The acceptance is the fraction of solid angle covered, as seen from the source:

$$
A=\frac{\Omega}{4\pi}
$$

The efficiency is the fraction of recorded electrons that pass "quality cuts"

$$
\epsilon = \frac{N_{Accepted}}{N_{Recorded}}
$$

Uncertainty Information

Simple error propagation gives, in terms of relative uncertainties

$$
\frac{\delta \sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{\delta N \oplus \delta B}{N - B} \oplus \frac{\delta L}{L} \oplus \frac{\delta A}{A} \oplus \frac{\delta \epsilon}{\epsilon}
$$

Uncertainty on N : the "statistical uncertainty". Event counting follows the Poisson distribution. At large N $(N > 5)$, this tends to the Gaussian distribution, of width $\sigma \sqrt{\mathsf{N}}$

- **Convention**
	- Choosing the convention to quote 1σ uncertainties
	- refers to the interval $[\mu \sigma, \mu + \sigma]$ of the gaussian function

6 / 29

- We claim that the true value has 68% chance lying in the interval
- \bullet "1 σ " ($\approx 68\%$), "2 σ " ($\approx 95\%$), "3 σ " ($\approx 99.7\%$)

Basic Formula and Summary of Concepts

Theory predicts a certain cross-section σ

we can calculate it via the Matrix-Element and the Feynman-Rules

Experimentalists measure cross-sections

- How do we know, how a certain process looks in out detector?
- **How can we distinguish between signal and background**
- \bullet How can we estimate the detector efficiency ϵ
- $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet$ Use Computer Simulations of the detector

Detector Simulation (1/3)

Simulation of proton-proton collisions

- Step 1: Event-Generator (See previous lectures): Simulate scattering of protons until all final state particles are "stable"
- Step 2: Simulate response of particle detector
- **•** Precise knowledge of the processes leading to signals in particle detectors is necessary.
- Thanks to the huge available computing power, detectors can be simulated to within 1-10% of reality
- Simulation based on a very precise description of:
	- the fundamental physics processes at the microscopic level (atomic and nuclear cross-sections)
	- the signal processing (electronics and readout),
	- the detector geometry (tens of millions of volumes)

Detector Simulation (2/3)

- Very accurate simulations of particle detectors are possible due to availability of Finite Element simulation programs and computing power.
	- Principle: Follow every single electron by applying first-principle laws of physics.
	- Millions of particle to follow for each simulated Event
	- Very small step-sizes (μ m -level)
- Consequences
	- The full MC simulation of one proton-proton collision takes 5-15min in average PC
	- We can simulate only a tiny fraction of proton-proton collisions (requiring specified properties, e.g. at least one Z-Boson should be created)
- Need Large Computer centers not only to reconstruct the recorded events from the detector, but also to simulate millions of specific processes. $\begin{array}{rcl} 4 & \Box \rightarrow & 4 \ \overline{\Box} \rightarrow & 4 \ \overline{\Box} \rightarrow & 4 \ \overline{\Box} \rightarrow & \overline{\Box} & 9 \ \overline{\Box} \rightarrow 29 \end{array}$

 10.36 10.36

Detector Simulation (3/3)

Toroid Magnets Solenoid Magnet SCT Tracker Pixel Detector TRT Tracker

K ロ > K @ > K 경 > K 경

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$

Hands-On Session

Before we proceed, it is useful to do some basic hands-on sessions

- Calculate the invariant mass of muon pair
- **Measure the Z-boson mass with LHC data**
- Measure the production cross-section of $\sigma(pp\to \mu^+\mu^-)$

Calculate the invariant mass

ATLAS/CMS can measure

- the momentum (p_x, p_y, p_z) of the muons
- the charge of the muons
- further properties like isolation

Calculate the invariant mass of two muons with

- $\vec{p}_1 = (4, -47, -171)[GeV]$
- $\vec{p}_2 = (27, -31, -62)[GeV]$
- Assume the muon to be massless and use natural units

• Hint:
$$
P^2 = M^2
$$

[1](#page-28-0)2 / 29

 13.36 13.36

目

Representing Data

- Step 1: Create your "own" histogram from data
- Step 2: Add the Monte Carlo Prediction
- Step 3: Why do we see differences?

K ロメ K 御 X K 君 X K 君 X

Optimizing Selection

- Two muons can be produced from
	- \bullet Z/ γ
	- QCD
	- top-pair dacays
- Find ways to descriminate signal from background
	- **o** charge
	- **·** isolation
- Step 4: Select signal-like events and redo the histogram

Calculate Cross-Section and Mass

What do we need?

- Number of signal events N_S
- MC Prediction of background events $N_B = 0.0$
- MC Prediction of Detector Efficiency $\epsilon = 0.35$
- Data corresponds to $\mathcal{L} = 0.1$ pb⁻¹

Measure the mass

• Take mean-value of Histogram

Background Estimations

- The Z-Boson decay into leptons is a very clean process.
- Usually the process we are interested have much higher background rates

Problem

How to estimate the number of background events in your event selection?

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\$ $\begin{array}{rcl} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\$ $\begin{array}{rcl} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\$

Monte Carlo Prediction

Simplest Approach: Use Monte Carlo prediction of background

- Step 1: Calculate Matrix Elements (Event Generator)
- Step 2: Simulate Detector Response
- Step 3: Apply full event selection
- Step 4: Calculate Systematics
	- Theoretical uncertainty on ME
	- **a** Uncertainties in detector simulation

 $17/29$ $17/29$

Limitations of Monte Carlo Prediction

Limitations of MC Prediction

- Large theoretical uncertainties
- Large detector uncertainties
- **Limited Monte Carlo Statistics**
- Idea: Use data itself to estimate Background
- Large part of LHC data-analysis to find robust and precise ways to estimate background with data
- **•** Guiding Principle
	- Use simulations to test your idea and evaluate systematics
	- Apply method to data

Data-Driven Background Estimation Method

- **•** Side-Band method
- **Matrix-Method**
- \bullet ...

Side-Band Method

Assume that signal is localised in one observable

Example: Invariant mass of two Kaons from a $\Phi(1020)$ decay

Procedure:

- Define function that describes background
- Fit function to the observed spectra in the regions without signal (=sidebands)
- **•** Estimate background in signal region

 $19 \times 19 \times 12 \times 12 \times 29$ $19 \times 19 \times 12 \times 12 \times 29$

the company of the

Matrix Method

Assume that we have two, uncorrelated(!) observables, which separate signal and background

- Example: Di-muon final state
	- Signal $(Z\to \mu^+\mu^-)$ and Background $(QCD \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$
	- use charge and isolation

Matrix Method

• Define 4 regions (A,B,C,D)

$$
\frac{N_A^{Bkg}}{N_B^{Bkg}} = \frac{N_C^{Bkg}}{N_D^{Bkg}}
$$

$$
N_A^{Bkg} = \frac{N_C^{Bkg}}{N_D^{Bkg}} \times N_B^{Bkg}
$$

How do we know that our MC is good?

Problem: Detector Simulation is a tricky business

- **o** millions of interactions
- lots of nuclear interactions (hard to calculate)
- very complex detectors
- alignment
- ...

Idea:

- Let's use data again to understand our detector
- **Calibrate our detector simulation**
	- Energy/Momentum Calibration

Energy/Momentum Calibration

Energy/Momentum Resolution

$$
p_T^{Reco} = \textit{gaus}(s \times p_T^{Truth}, \sigma)
$$

The truth momentum gets smeared with a gaussian function with center $(\mathsf{s} \times \mathsf{p}_\mathcal{T}^\mathcal{T}\mathsf{ruth})$ and width σ

- $s =$ momentum scale
- $\bullet \ \sigma =$ momentum resolution

How to determine s and σ ?

- Use references process
- e.g. Z-Boson mass is extremely well known
	- Compare measurement with prediction \rightarrow determine s and

Efficiency Calibration

Two kind of 'efficiencies':

- efficiency that particle is in the geometrical acceptance of the detector
	- called detector acceptance
	- **a** taken from MC simulations
- efficiency that a particle gets reconstructed by the detector
	- how to proof if detector simulation is correct?

Tag&Probe (for e.g. $Z \rightarrow \overline{\mu}\mu$)

- Use redundency of detector
- muons get reconstucted in ID and MS

Recent LHC Results

Many of cross-sections have been already measured at the LHC and compared with the SM-prediction. So far we observe a very nice agreement between prediction and measurement

Z-Boson Production Cross-Section

Signal Selection

- Two opposite charged, same flavor leptons
- \bullet $p_T 20$ GeV
- isolated
- 60 GeV $< m_{II} < 120$ GeV

Backgrounds

- some QCD
- **•** some Top

Results (stat+sys+lumi)

 $\sigma_{meas} = 937 \pm 6 \pm 18 \pm 32$ pb

•
$$
\sigma_{theo.} = 964 \pm 18
$$
 pb

Differential Z-Boson p_T Cross-Section

We can also measure differential cross-section vs. observables

• Example: Cross-sections for different bins of $p_{\overline{I}}^Z$

Transverse momentum of the Z-Boson

- o not possible at Born-level (lowest order)
- o induced by Initial State Radiation (ISR)
	- Important test of perturbative (and even non-perturbative) QCD Calculations

Top-Quark Production Cross-Section

Signal Selection: semi-leptonic decay

- 1 isolated lepton, $p_T 20$ GeV
- 3 reconstructed jets, $E_T 20 \text{GeV}$
- 1 b-tagged jet
- E_T^{Miss} 40 GeV

Backgrounds

- some QCD
- **•** some Top

Results (stat+sys+lumi)

 $\sigma_{meas.} = 176 \pm 5 \pm 14 \pm 8$ pb

$$
\bullet\ \sigma_{theo.}=165\pm15\ \mathrm{pb}
$$

 \equiv $\frac{28}{9}$ $\frac{28}{9}$ $\frac{28}{9}$ $\frac{26}{9}$

WW-Boson Production Cross-Section

Signal Selection: leptonic decay

- 2 isolated leptons: $p_T 20 \text{GeV}$
- E_T^{Miss} 25, 40 GeV
- **Z-Boson** veto
- no jets with $E_T 20$ GeV

Backgrounds

- **•** Top-Pairs
- \bullet Z/ γ^*

Results (stat+sys+lumi)

\n- $$
\sigma_{meas.} = 48 \pm 4 \pm 6 \pm 2
$$
 pb
\n- $\sigma_{theo.} = 46 \pm 3$ pb
\n

イロン イ部ン イ君ン イ君ン

Summary of Lecture 3

We measured our own cross-section via $\sigma = \frac{N-B}{\epsilon A t}$ ϵ AL We learned some methods to determine the background contributions Some recent LHC results: It seems we understand the theory the detector! Lets find something new!