Regional School on Physics at the Nanoscale: Theoretical and Computational Aspects 14-25 December 2009, Hanoi, Vietnam

Computational approach to nanoscale photovoltaic devices

Ralph Gebauer

The Abdus Salam **International Centre for Theoretical Physics**

Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

Overview

- Nanostructured photovoltaic devices (Grätzel cells)
- Research challenges
- Application of TDDFT to such systems
- Bringing together ab-initio molecular dynamics and TD-DFT
- Successes and failures for charge transfer energies

Electron transfer in sensitized semiconductor surfaces

Idea (Graetzel solar cells):

Nanostructured $TiO₂$ surface

Figure 4 Scanning electron micrograph of the surface of a mesoporous anatase film prepared from a hydrothermally processed TiO₂ colloid. The exposed surface planes have mainly {101} orientation.

Source: M. Grätzel, Nature **414**, 338 (2001)

Functioning of a Grätzel cell

Figure 3 Schematic of operation of the dye-sensitized electrochemical photovoltaic cell. The photoanode, made of a mesoporous dye-sensitized semiconductor, receives electrons from the photo-excited dye which is thereby oxidized, and which in turn oxidizes the mediator, a redox species dissolved in the electrolyte. The mediator is regenerated by reduction at the cathode by the electrons circulated through the external circuit. Figure courtesy of P. Bonhôte/EPFL-LPI.

Source: M. Grätzel, Nature **414**, 338 (2001)

Various dyes are extensively studied

O

lthin...

٥ź

A
A Crea

OTBA⁻

Black dye

-N≡C≓S

TBAO

Figure 3. Spectral response curve of the photocurrent for the DYSC sensitized by N3 and the black dye. The incident photon to current conversion efficiency is plotted as a function of wavelength

Source: M. Grätzel, Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl. 8, 171-185 (2000)

Charge transfer dynamics

The science of dye sensitized solar cells contains many challenges:

- Chemistry **Synthesis**
- Materials science Stability
-
- Physics
-
-
- Surface science Surface science Efficiency, Costs, etc.

In this presentation: Concentrate on understanding of mechanisms of charge separation at dye/semiconductor interface

Electron transfer/ charge separation

Direct versus indirect transitions:

Our system: Squaraine on TiO2 nanoparticle

TiO2 particle with squaraine dye

Experimental and TDDFT absorption spectra

Analyzing the various transitions

A more realistic system: Including the solvent

Including the solvent in MD and TDDFT computations

- Solvent is treated at the same level of theory as molecule and surface slab.
- Solvent changes electrostatic conditions (dielectric constant …)
- Solvent participates actively:
	- in formation surface dipoles, etc.
	- dissociates
	- is essential for geometry of solute

TDDFT calculation of optical spectra and related quantities

Various challenges:

- System is large (429 atoms, 1.666 electrons, 181.581 PWs, resp. 717.690 PWs)
- Broad spectral region of interest
- Many excited states in spectral region

Computational tool:

- Recursive Lanczos algorithm for TDDFT
- •Ideally suited for large systems, broad spectral region with large basis set
- Here: Adiabatic GGA functional

Back to the optical spectra

Problematic aspects of using (TD)DFT in photovoltaic systems

Back to the previously studied system:

Donor-Acceptor Dyad

 $(ZnTPP-C₇₀ complex)$

Study the energy of the charge transfer excitation from donor to acceptor

Basic DFT:

Can TDDFT do better?

Solution is: CONSTRAINED DFT:

Acceptor region

Donor region

Solution is: CONSTRAINED DFT:

Conclusions on charge transfer excitations:

Both "basic DFT" and TD-DFT with the standard functionals *severely* underestimate the energy of a charge transfer excitation

Simple constrained DFT correctly predicts the energies

Problem: How can those two pictures be brought together? (Necessary for a seamless description of sequetial charge transfer processes!)

Linear response formalism in TDDFT:

- Calculate the system's ground state using DFT
- Consider a monochromatic perturbation: $V_{pert}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = V_0(\boldsymbol{r}) \left(\exp(i\omega t) + \exp(-i\omega t) \right)$
- Linear response: assume the time-dependent response:

$$
\psi_j(t) = e^{-i\epsilon_j t} \left(\psi_j^0 + \delta \psi_j^+ e^{i\omega t} + \delta \psi_j^- e^{-i\omega t} \right)
$$

$$
\delta n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \delta n^+(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\omega t} + \delta n^-(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\omega t}
$$

 $\delta V(\boldsymbol{r},t) = V_{pert}(\boldsymbol{r},t) + \delta V_{SCF}^{+}(\boldsymbol{r})e^{i\omega t} + \delta V_{SCF}^{-}(\boldsymbol{r})e^{-i\omega t}$

• Put these expressions into the TD Schrödinger equation

Linear response formalism in TDDFT:

$$
\omega \delta \psi_v^+(\mathbf{r}) = (H^0_{KS} - \epsilon_v^0) \delta \psi_v^+ + \hat{P}_v \left(\delta V_{SCF}^+(\mathbf{r}) + V_{pert}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \psi_v^0(\mathbf{r}) \n- \omega \delta \psi_v^-(\mathbf{r}) = (H^0_{KS} - \epsilon_v^0) \delta \psi_v^- + \hat{P}_v \left(\delta V_{SCF}^-(\mathbf{r}) + V_{pert}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \psi_v^0(\mathbf{r})
$$

Now define the following linear combinations:

$$
x_v(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} (\delta \psi_v^+(\mathbf{r}) + \delta \psi_v^-(\mathbf{r}))
$$

$$
y_v(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} (\delta \psi_v^+(\mathbf{r}) - \delta \psi_v^-(\mathbf{r}))
$$

$$
(\omega - \mathcal{L}) |x, y\rangle = |0, v\rangle \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ K & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

With the following definitions:

$$
D |\mathbf{x}\rangle = \left\{ \left(H_{KS}^0 - \epsilon_i \right) x_i(\mathbf{r}) \right\}
$$

$$
K |\mathbf{x}\rangle = \left\{ \left(H_{KS}^0 - \epsilon_i \right) x_i(\mathbf{r}) + \psi_i^0(\mathbf{r}) \sum_j \int d\mathbf{r}' f_{Hxc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \psi_{i'}^0(\mathbf{r}') x_{i'}(\mathbf{r}') \right\}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & D \\ K & 0 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right) = \omega\left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right)
$$

Alternative methods (if only the spectrum is nedded)

- Real-time propagation
- Recursions …

Remember: The photoabsorption is linked to the dipole polarizability $\alpha(\omega)$

$$
d(t)=\int dt' \alpha(t-t'){\cal E}(t')
$$

If we choose $\mathcal{E}(t') = \mathcal{E}_0 \delta(t')$, then knowing d(t) gives us $\alpha(t)$ and thus $\alpha(\omega)$.

Therefore, we need a way to calculate the observable d(t), given the electric field perturbation $\mathcal{E}_0 \delta(t)$.

Consider an observable A:

$$
A(t) = \sum_{i} \left(\left\langle \delta \psi_i(t) \left| \hat{A} \right| \psi_i^0 \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_i^0 \left| \hat{A} \right| \delta \psi_i(t) \right\rangle \right)
$$

Its Fourier transform is:

$$
\tilde{A}(\omega) = \sum_{i} \left(\left\langle \psi_i^0 \left| \hat{A} \right| \delta \psi_i^-(\omega) \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_i^0 \left| \hat{A} \right| \delta \psi_i^+(\omega) \right\rangle \right)
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \sum_{i} \left\langle \psi_i^0 \left| \hat{A} \right| x_i(\omega) \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \left\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0} \left| \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \right\rangle
$$

$$
\tilde{A}(\omega) = \sum_{i} \left(\left\langle \psi_i^0 \left| \hat{A} \right| \delta \psi_i^-(\omega) \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_i^0 \left| \hat{A} \right| \delta \psi_i^+(\omega) \right\rangle \right)
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \sum_{i} \left\langle \psi_i^0 \left| \hat{A} \right| x_i(\omega) \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \left\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0} \left| \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \right\rangle
$$

Recall:
$$
(\omega - \mathcal{L}) |x, y\rangle = |0, v\rangle
$$

Therefore:

$$
\tilde{A}(\omega) = 2 \left\langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{0} \left| (\omega - \mathcal{L})^{-1} \right| \boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{v} \right\rangle
$$

Thus in order to calculate the spectrum, we need to calculate one given matrix element of $(\omega - L)$

In order to understand the method, look at the hermitean problem:

$$
\bra{v}\left(\omega-H\right)^{-1}\ket{v}
$$

Build a Lanczos recursion chain:

$$
\phi_{-1} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\phi_0 = |v\rangle
$$
\n
$$
\langle \phi_{n+1} | \phi_{n+1} \rangle = |H - (a_n) \phi_n | \phi_n \phi_{n-1}
$$
\n
$$
\langle \phi_{n+1} | \phi_{n+1} \rangle = 1
$$
\n
$$
a_n = \langle \phi_n | H | \phi_n \rangle
$$
\n
$$
H = \begin{pmatrix}\n a_0 & b_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & a_1 & b_2 & 0 & \vdots \\
0 & b_2 & a_2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_n & a_n\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

Back to the calculation of spectra:

Recall:
$$
(\omega - \mathcal{L}) |x, y\rangle = |0, v\rangle
$$

Therefore:

$$
\tilde{A}(\omega) = 2 \left\langle \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{0} \left| (\omega - \mathcal{L})^{-1} \right| \boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{v} \right\rangle
$$

Use a recursion to represent L as a tridiagonal matrix:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & 0 & & & \\ c_1 & a_2 & b_2 & & & \\ 0 & c_2 & a_3 & b_3 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & b_{N-1} \\ & & & & c_{N-1} & a_N \end{pmatrix}
$$

And the response can be written as a continued fraction!

$$
\tilde{A}(\omega) = 2 \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0} | (\omega - \mathcal{L})^{-1} | \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v} \rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\omega - a_1 + b_2 \frac{1}{\omega - a_2 + \dots} c_2}
$$

Comparison with "traditional methods"

- Virtual KS states not explicitly needed (similar to DFPT)
- Main computation independent of frequency
- Whole spectrum is obtained "in one shot"
- No information about individual excitations
- Ideally suited for large basis sets, large systems and for continuous spectra

Here: Other methods:

- All (or many) virtual KS states needed
- Separate computation for each frequency
- Some individual transitions in the low energy portion of the spectrum
- Excitation-eigenvectors can be used for further analysis
- Ideally suited for localized basis sets in smaller systems

How does is work?

Optical absorption in benzene:

Speeding up: Look at the coefficients

And the response can be written as a continued fraction!

$$
\tilde{A}(\omega) = 2 \langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0} | (\omega - \mathcal{L})^{-1} | \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v} \rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\omega - a_1 + b_2 \frac{1}{\omega - a_2 + \dots} c_2}
$$

Extrapolating the coefficients: VERY fast convergence

Spectrum of fullerene C_{60}

Low frequency spectrum in C_{60}

 C_{60} : Comparison with experiment

Conclusions

DFT and TDDFT are useful tools to understand the functioning of new photovoltaic materials

TDDFT allows one to better understand the key optical transitions involved

Bringing together ab initio MD and TDDFT allows for a realistic simulation of such systems

Caveat: Important failures of standard methods in these systems