FLAVOR SYMMETRY AND NEUTRINO MIXING

PHUNG VAN DONG

Institute of Physics, VAST, 10 Dao Tan, Ba Dinh, Hanoi

Abstract. We give a review of flavor symmetries recently proposed as a leading candidate in solving the tribimaximal neutrino-mixing form. We show how these symmetries work by taking concrete examples: A_4 symmetry in the standard model and 3-3-1 model.

I. WHY FLAVOR SYMMETRY?

Neutrinos Come in at Least Three Flavors

The Neutrino Revolution (1998 $-\cdots$)

An sample of neutrino oscillation (flavor changing) is $\nu_{\mu} \longrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ in atmosphere. Remark: Neutrinos have nonzero masses and mixing!

Neutrino Mixing

When $W^+ \longrightarrow l_{\alpha}^+ + \nu_{\alpha}$ ($l_{\alpha} \equiv e, \ \mu, \ \text{or} \ \tau$, and $\alpha \equiv e, \ \mu, \ \text{or} \ \tau$), the produced neutrino field (ν_{α} —neutrino of flavor α) is $\nu_{\alpha} = \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} \nu_{i}$, where ν_{i} is neutrino of definite mass m_{i} (i=1,2,3). The neutrino mixing matrix $U \equiv (U_{lL})^{\dagger}U_{\nu L} = O_{23} \times O_{31} \times O_{12}$ is given in terms of Euler-angles parametrization.

The Current Experiment [PDG2010]

There are two kinds of hierarchies, "normal" or "inverted", depending on the sign of $\triangle m_{32}^2$ positive or negative, respectively.

Tribimaximal Mixing [Harrison-Perkins-Scott2002]

$$U_{\text{HPS}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0\\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2}\\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

- (1) This form is strongly supported by the experiment because almost its values are the best fits from the current data.
- (2) In the last decade a large portion of the neutrino theories has been devoted to derive it, but **how**?

Ma Connection

$$U_{\mathrm{HPS}} \sim \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{array}
ight)^\dagger \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{array}
ight)$$

The first factor is Cabibbo-Wolfenstein (CW) matrix ($\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$); the second one is Ma connection.

- (1) The CW matrix contains a residual symmetry Z_3 , while the Ma connection term has 2-3 reflection symmetry Z_2 with zero 1-2 and 1-3 mixing.
- (2) The $U_{\rm HPS}$ can be obtained if there is an appropriate symmetry among flavors containing the residual subgroups Z_2 , Z_3 and non-Abelian.

II. NON-ABELIAN DISCRETE SYMMETRIES

Flavor Symmetry—Group S_3

The simplest group (but fails) is S_3 —the symmetry group of an equilateral triangle, which is also the permutation group of 3 objects.

Flavor Symmetry—Group A_4

If the underline symmetry contains an $\underline{3}$ irreducible rep. responsible for three families, the simplest of which (successful) is A_4 —the symmetry group of a tetrahedron, which is also the group of even-permutations of 4 objects.

Flavor Symmetry—Group S_4

In some models, S_4 —the symmetry group of a cube, which is also the permutation group of 4 objects, is required.

III. SOME MODELS WITH S_3 , A_4

S_3 Model

The S_3 is the smallest non-Abelian discrete group. It has 6 elements in 3 equivalence classes, with the irreducible representations $\underline{1}$, $\underline{1}'$, and $\underline{2}$. Class $[C_1]$: (1)(2)(3); $[C_2]$: (123), (321); $[C_3]$: (1)(23), (2)(13), (3)(12). The fundamental multiplication rule is

$$\underline{2} \otimes \underline{2} = \underline{1}(12 + 21) \oplus \underline{1}'(12 - 21) \oplus \underline{2}(22, 11).$$

Let $(\nu_i, l_i) \sim \underline{2}, l_i^c \sim \underline{2}, (\phi_1^0, \phi_1^-) \sim \underline{1}, (\phi_2^0, \phi_2^-) \sim \underline{1}'$, then

$$M_l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & fv_1 + f'v_2 \\ fv_1 - f'v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\mu} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\xi_i = (\xi_i^{++}, \xi_i^{+}, \xi_i^{0}) \sim \underline{2}$ (with $u_1 = u_2$) and $\xi_0 = (\xi_0^{++}, \xi_0^{+}, \xi_0^{0}) \sim \underline{1}$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} hu_1 & h_0 u_0 \\ h_0 u_0 & hu_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a+b & 0 \\ 0 & a-b \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$U = (U_{lL})^{\dagger} U_{\nu L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. maximal $\nu_{\mu} - \nu_{\tau}$ mixing responsible for the atmospheric neutrinos may be achieved, despite having a diagonal \mathcal{M}_l with $m_{\mu} \neq m_{\tau}$.

A_4 Model [Ma2001,2009]

The A_4 has 12 elements in 4 equivalence classes, with the irreducible representations $\underline{1},\underline{1}',\underline{1}'',$ and $\underline{3}$. Class $[C_1]:(1)(2)(3)(4);$ $[C_2]:(1)(234),$ (2)(143), (3)(124), (4)(132); $[C_3]:(1)(432),$ (2)(341), (3)(421), (4)(231); $[C_4]:$ (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23). Let $\omega=\exp\frac{2\pi i}{3},$ the fundamental multiplication rule is

$$\underline{3} \otimes \underline{3} = \underline{1}(11 + 22 + 33) \oplus \underline{1}'(11 + \omega^2 22 + \omega 33) \oplus \underline{1}''(11 + \omega 22 + \omega^2 33) \oplus \underline{3}(23, 31, 12) \oplus \underline{3}(32, 13, 21)$$

Let $(\nu_i, l_i) \sim \underline{3}, l_i^c \sim \underline{1}, \underline{1}', \underline{1}'', \text{ and } (\phi_i^0, \phi_i^-) \sim \underline{3} \text{ with } v_1 = v_2 = v_3, \text{ then } v_1 = v_2 = v_3, v_1 = v_3$

$$\mathcal{M}_l = rac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{ccc} m_e & 0 & 0 \ 0 & m_\mu & 0 \ 0 & 0 & m_ au \end{array}
ight).$$

Let $\xi_0 = (\xi_0^{++}, \xi_0^{+}, \xi_0^{0}) \sim \underline{1}$ and $\xi_i = (\xi_i^{++}, \xi_i^{+}, \xi_i^{0}) \sim \underline{3}$ with $u_2 = u_3 = 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & d & a \end{pmatrix} = U_{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} a+d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a+d \end{pmatrix} U_{\nu}^{T},$$

where

$$U_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

The neutrino mixing matrix is then

$$U = (U_{lL})^{\dagger} U_{\nu L} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0\\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2}\\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. tribimaximal mixing. This is the simplest such realization, which is consistent with only the normal hierarchy of neutrino masses $(m_1 < m_2 < m_3)$.

A_4 3-3-1 Model [Dong-Long-Soa-Hue2010]

Let $(\nu_i, l_i, N_i^c) \sim \underline{3}$ (with L(N) = 0), $l_i^c \sim \underline{1}, \underline{1}', \underline{1}''$, $(\phi_i^+, \phi_i^0, \phi_i^+) \sim \underline{3}$ with $v_1 = v_2 = v_3$, we get then

$$\mathcal{M}_l = rac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{ccc} m_e & 0 & 0 \ 0 & m_{\mu} & 0 \ 0 & 0 & m_{ au} \end{array}
ight).$$

Let the sextets $\sigma_0 \sim \underline{1}$ and $\sigma_i \sim \underline{3}$ with $u_2 = u_3 = 0$, the active neutrinos gain mass via a seesaw:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu}^{\text{eff}} = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & d & a \end{pmatrix} = U_{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} a+d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -a+d \end{pmatrix} U_{\nu}^{T},$$

where

$$U_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

Again, the tribimaximal mixing is obtained. This realization is consistent with arbitrary hierarchy of neutrino masses, including normal or inverted.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

With the application of the non-Abelian discrete symmetries such as A_4 , a plausible theoretical understanding of the tribinaximal form of the neutrino mixing matrix has been achieved.

REFERENCES

 $[PDG2010] \ K. \ Nakamura \ et \ al. \ (Particle \ Data \ Group), \ J. \ Phys. \ G \ 37 \ (2010) \ 075021. \\ [Harrison-Perkins-Scott2002] \ P. \ F. \ Harrison, \ D. \ H. \ Perkins, \ W. \ G. \ Scott, \ Phys. \ Lett. \ B \ 530 \ (2002) \ 167. \\ [Ma2001,2009] \ E. \ Ma, \ G. \ Rajasekaran, \ Phys. \ Rev. \ D \ 64 \ (2001) \ 113012; \ E. \ Ma, \ arXiv:0905.0221 \ [hep-ph]. \\ [Dong-Long-Soa-Hue2010] \ P. \ V. \ Dong, \ L. \ T. \ Hue, \ H. \ N. \ Long, \ D. \ V. \ Soa, \ Phys. \ Rev. \ D \ 81 \ (2010) \ 053004. \\$

Received 15-12-2010.